

#### **SCHOOL OF FOREIGN LANGUAGES**

# INSTRUCTOR ASSESSMENT EVALUATION QUESTIONNAIRE

Document No	FR.532
Issue Date	29.09.2025
Revision Date	-
Revision No	0
Page	1/2

	Assessment Evaluated	
	Assessment Date	
	Instructor Name-Surname	
	Signature	
Sect	tion 1: Exam Design & Releva	nce
	1. How well do the exam ques	tions align with the course objectives?
	○ □ Very well aligned	
	○ ☐ Moderately aligned	ed
	○ □ Poorly aligned	
	2. To what extent does the exa grammar, vocabulary)?	m reflect the language skills taught (listening, speaking, reading, writing,
	(Scale: $1 = \text{Not at all}$ , $5 = \text{Fr}$	ılly)
	<ul> <li>1 □ 2 □ 3 □ 4 □ 5</li> </ul>	
•	3. Were the instructions clear a o Yes □ No □ Partial	and easy for students to understand? $\Box$
4		f the exam best represented the intended learning outcomes?

### **Section 2: Difficulty & Fairness**

- 5. How would you rate the overall difficulty of the exam?
  - o □ Too easy
  - □ Appropriate
  - □ Too difficult
- 6. Were the questions fair to all students regardless of background and prior knowledge?
  - o Yes □ No □ Unsure □
- 7. Open-ended: Were there any questions that you felt were ambiguous or potentially misleading? Please specify.

Prepared by	System Authorization	Approval for Enforcement
Departmental Quality Assurance Officer	Quality Assurance Coordinator	Executive Management



#### SCHOOL OF FOREIGN LANGUAGES

## INSTRUCTOR ASSESSMENT EVALUATION QUESTIONNAIRE

Document No	FR.532
Issue Date	29.09.2025
Revision Date	-
Revision No	0
Page	2/2

Approval for Enforcement

Executive Management

### Section 3: Skill Coverage & Balance

Prepared by

Departmental Quality Assurance Officer

Section 3. Skin Coverage & Daranec
<ul> <li>8. Did the exam adequately assess: <ul> <li>Listening skills? (Yes □ No □)</li> <li>Speaking skills? (Yes □ No □)</li> <li>Reading comprehension? (Yes □ No □)</li> <li>Writing ability? (Yes □ No □)</li> <li>Grammar/Vocabulary knowledge? (Yes □ No □)</li> </ul> </li> <li>9. Was there an appropriate balance between objective items (e.g., multiple-choice, true/false) and subjective items (e.g., essays, oral responses)? <ul> <li>□ Yes</li> <li>□ No</li> <li>□ Needs adjustment</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
Section 4: Student Performance & Outcomes
10. How well did student performance on this exam reflect their actual language proficiency? (Scale: 1 = Poorly, 5 = Accurately)
$1 \ \square \ 2 \ \square \ 3 \ \square \ 4 \ \square \ 5$
11. Open-ended: Were there any patterns in student responses (e.g., common errors, unexpected strengths/weaknesses)?
Section 5: Overall Impression & Suggestions
12. Overall, how effective was this exam in assessing student learning?
<ul> <li>□ Very effective</li> <li>□ Moderately effective</li> <li>□ Not effective</li> </ul>
13. What would you recommend changing in the exam for future use? (e.g., format, question types, length, skill weighting)
14. Any additional comments or feedback?

System Authorization

Quality Assurance Coordinator